



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/532,034	03/21/2000	Jay H. Connelly	042390.P8388	6937	
7:	590 02/05/2003				
James Y Go Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			EXAMINER		
			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
Seventh Floor Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142		
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/532,034		CONNELLY, JAY H.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Douglas B Bla	air	2142					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the	correspondence address	î 				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e) period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re e) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, h eply within the statutory d will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be ti minimum of thirty (30) da pire SIX (6) MONTHS fron on to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
1) <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	March 2000							
2a)□		This action is no	n-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow			prosecution as to the me	rits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requ	irement.						
	ion Papers								
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin								
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		•						
44) 🗆 :	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.					
12)□:	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		action.						
,	•	_xammer.							
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an priority undo	- 35 6 0	a) (d) ar (f)					
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of:	gri priority under	35 0.3.0. 9 119(a)-(u) or (i).					
a)ı	<i>,</i>	nte have been re	acoived						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri				•				
* 5	application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).	•	3				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional appl	ication).				
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes								
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification requires a summary of the invention before the disclosure. See M.P.E.P. Section 608.01(d). Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 3/21/2000 (Paper No. 3) could not be found and therefore has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,449,632 to David et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,686,954 to Yoshinobu et al..
- 5. David teaches the invention substantially as claimed (As in claim 28) including a system, comprising: a broadcast server; and one or more client systems couple to the broadcast server; wherein the broadcast server is couple to broadcast data to one or more clients (col. 6, lines 10-36); wherein the one or more client systems are coupled to rate one or more of the plurality of data described by the data (col. 6, lines 37-59); wherein the one or more client systems are

Art Unit: 2142

coupled to transmit to the broadcast server the ratings of data files (col. 7, lines 50-67 and col. 8, lines 1-14); wherein the broadcast system is coupled to select a portion of the plurality of the data files in response to the ratings received from the one or more client systems (col. 10, lines 33-51); and wherein the broadcast system is further coupled to broadcast the selected portion of the plurality of data files (col. 8, lines 15-28); however David does not explicitly teach broadcasting meta-data including descriptions of data files or a content rating table for rating files described by the meta-data.

Yoshinobu teaches a system with a server that broadcasts meta-data including descriptions of a plurality of data files (col. 22, lines 13-52) and one or more clients coupled to rate in response to a content rating table one or more of the plurality of data files described by the meta-data, the content rating table generated responsive to data files previously accessed (col. 21, lines 5-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art to combine the teachings of David regarding a method for broadcasting information based on customer ratings with the teachings of Yoshinobu regarding broadcasting meta-data containing descriptions of data files and providing content rating tables because David suggests the use of other techniques known in the art for collecting user information (col. 7, lines 50-67 and col. 8, lines 1-2).

- 6. As to claims 1, 7, and 10, they are method claims that feature limitations that correspond to the system claim 28 so claims 1, 7, and 10 are rejected on the same basis as claim 28.
- 7. As to claim 14 and 26, they feature limitations corresponding to the client in the system claimed in claim 28 and are thus rejected on the same basis as claim 28.

Art Unit: 2142

- 8. As to claims 18, 21, and 24, they feature limitations corresponding to the server in the system claimed in claim 28 and are thus rejected on the same basis as claim 28.
- 9. As to claims 2, 15, 25, David teaches selecting the portion of the plurality of data files which have higher ratings based on the received ratings (col. 10, lines 33-51).
- 10. As to claim 3, David teaches combining the ratings received form the client systems, if ratings are received form more than one client system, to generate an overall ratings list of the plurality of data files (col. 8, lines 55-65).
- 11. As to claims 4, 12, 16 and 23, Yoshinobu teaches broadcasting a broadcast schedule of the portion of the plurality of data files prior to broadcasting the portion of the plurality of data files (col. 23, lines 53-67 and col. 24, lines 1-11).
- 12. As to claims 5 and 17, Yoshinobu teaches broadcasting a broadcast schedule of the metadata prior to broadcastings the meta-data to the one or more client systems (col. 23, lines 53-67 and col. 24, lines 1-11).
- 13. As to claim 6, David teaches broadcasting the portion of the plurality of data files to the one or more client systems comprising broadcasting on the plurality of data files having a higher ratings prior to broadcasting on of the plurality of data files having a lower rating (col. 10, lines 33-51).
- 14. As to claims 8, 11, 19, 22, and 27, Yoshinobu teaches receiving a meta-data broadcast schedule broadcast by the server system, the client system activated in response to the meta-data broadcast schedule (col. 23, lines 53-67 and col. 24, lines 1-11).
- 15. As to claims 9, 13, and 20, David teaches a first plurality of data files including a second plurality of data files (col. 7, lines 50-67 and col. 8, lines 1-2).

Art Unit: 2142

16. As to claims 29-32, Yoshinobu teaches a system wherein each of one or more client

systems are coupled to selectively receive and store a portion of the selected portion of the

plurality of data files in response to a content rating table associated with each respective one of

the plurality of client systems (col. 6, lines 30-40).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Douglas B Blair whose telephone number is 703-305-5267. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Powell can be reached on 703-305-9703. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular

communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

Douglas Blair January 31, 2003

DBB

weht Gull